

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/574,647	VAN DOORN, SCHELTO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Edwin A. León	2833	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Edwin A. León. (3) _____.

(2) Brenda Binder. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 October 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 20.

Identification of prior art discussed: Curzio (U.S. Patent No. 5,611,013).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney agreed to make changes to Claims 1 and 20 to put the case in condition for allowance.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Edwin A. León

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required